NDRE BIROTTE, JR. Juited States Attorney A NDRA R. BROWN
ssistant United States Attorney 2 3 Chief, Tax Division DANIEL W. LAYTON (SBN 240763)
Assistant United States Attorney
Room 7211 Federal Building
300 North Los Angeles Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Telephone: 213-894-6165
Fax: 213-894-0115 Email: Daniel.Layton@usdoj.gov 7 8 DANIEL A. APPLEGATE Trial Attorney, Tax Division U.S. Department of Justice 9 P.O. Box 7238, Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044
Telephone: (202) 353-8180
Fax: (202) 514-6770
Email: daniel.a.applegate@usdoj.gov 10 11 12 Attorneys for Plaintiff 13 United States of America 14 15 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 16 17 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 18 EDCV11-0608 VAP (OPx) 19 Plaintiff, 20 Civil No. 21 DENNIS E. GIROUD, **Complaint for Permanent Injunction** 22 individually and and Other Relief d/b/a REFUNDS R US, 23 Defendant. 24 25 Plaintiff, United States of America, for its complaint against Dennis E. Giroud, 26 individually and doing business as Refunds R Us, states as follows: 27 28

- 1. Jurisdiction is conferred on this Court by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1340 and 1345 and 26 U.S.C. §§ 7402(a), 7407, and 7408.
- 2. This suit is brought under 26 U.S.C. §§ 7402, 7407, and 7408 to enjoin Dennis E. Giroud, individually and doing business as Refunds R Us, from the following activities:
  - (a) Preparing or filing, or assisting in, or directing the preparation or filing of any federal tax return, amended return or other federal tax documents or forms for any other person or entity;
  - (b) Engaging in conduct subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. § 6694;
  - (c) Engaging in conduct subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. § 6701, including preparing and filing tax returns and other documents that understate the tax liabilities of others;
  - (d) Preparing his own federal income tax returns with fabricated income-tax withholding and refunds based on amounts shown in Forms 1099-OID issued to his creditors;
  - (e) Filing, providing forms for, or otherwise aiding or abetting the filing of frivolous Forms 1040, 1099, and other IRS forms for himself or others, including the notarization or signing of certificates of service or similar documents in connection with the frivolous tax returns;
  - (f) Representing anyone other than himself before the Internal Revenue Service;
  - (g) Engaging in any other conduct that is subject to penalty under the Internal Revenue Code or that interferes with the proper administration and enforcement of the internal revenue laws.
- 3. Pursuant to 26 U.S.C. §§ 7402, 7407, and 7408, this action has been requested by the Chief Counsel of the IRS, a delegate of the Secretary of the Treasury, and commenced at the direction of a delegate of the Attorney General.

#### **Defendant**

- 4. Dennis E. Giroud (Giroud) resides in Victorville, San Bernardino County, California, and does business as Refunds R Us, which has a business mailing address of 5940 S. Rainbow #1000, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89118. Venue is thus proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Giroud resides in this judicial district.
- 5. Giroud operates Refunds R Us as a sole proprietorship and the business address in Las Vegas, Nevada is used for mailing purposes only.
- 6. In 2009 and 2010, Giroud, individually and doing business as Refunds R Us, received compensation in exchange for preparing and filing frivolous documents and fraudulent federal tax returns covering the tax years 2006, 2007, 2008, and 2009. Giroud identifies himself and/or Refunds R Us as the "paid preparer" of federal income tax returns by signing the returns and/or using a unique Preparer Tax Identification Number issued to him by the IRS.

#### **Defendant Giroud's Tax-Fraud Scheme**

- 7. Giroud prepares federal tax returns for customers on which he grossly overstates his customers' income and tax withholding and to which he appends frivolous documents in order to fraudulently obtain grossly inflated tax refund checks for his customers.
- 8. Under the federal tax withholding system, employers must withhold taxes from a taxpayer's wages. Additionally, a taxpayer may have tax withheld from other sources, such as gambling winnings. Later, when a taxpayer files his or her year-end tax return, the IRS refunds the amount, if any, of withholding in excess of the taxpayer's tax liability.
- 9. Giroud exploits the tax withholding system by overstating income and withholding amounts by hundreds of thousands of dollars to improperly obtain tax refunds worth hundreds of thousands of dollars for his customers. In some instances,

Giroud has overstated his customers' income and withholding amounts by millions of dollars to obtain refund checks for millions of dollars.

- 10. The bogus claims that Giroud makes on his customers' tax returns are based on a frivolous tax-defier theory called "redemption" or "commercial redemption." Promoters of this theory claim that the United States government maintains for each taxpayer a secret treasury account worth millions of dollars. By sending government officials and banks unsolicited documents and various IRS forms, promoters claim customers can use this nonexistent secret treasury account to satisfy their debts and liabilities, including tax liabilities.
- 11. In support of the fraudulent refund claims, Giroud reports bogus "Form 1099 OID" income on the Schedules B attached to tax returns that he prepares for customers and he files bogus Forms 1099-OID with the IRS.
- 12. Original issue discount (OID) income refers to the difference between the price for which a debt instrument is issued and its stated redemption price at maturity. OID income is generally included in a taxpayer's income as it accrues over the term of a debt instrument, regardless if the taxpayer receives payments from the issuer of the debt instrument. OID income is treated like a payment of interest and a party issuing a financial instrument generating OID income must issue a Form 1099-OID.
- 13. The frivolous federal income tax returns that Giroud prepares falsely state that his customer either issued a debt instrument that generated OID income or purchased a debt instrument that generated OID income.
- 14. The frivolous federal income tax returns that Giroud prepares also falsely state that federal income taxes were withheld for the full amount of OID income purportedly received by his customer.
- 15. The frivolous federal income tax returns that Giroud prepares list OID income purportedly received by his customer to or from a creditor of the customer, usually a car loan, mortgage or credit card balance owed by the customer to the creditor.

The federal income tax returns Giroud prepares also report tax withholding for near the amount of the debt. The result of this is a return showing an inflated tax liability for the customer but, because of the purported tax withholdings, the return also claims a huge false refund.

- 16. Consequently, Giroud's customers fail to file proper federal income tax returns and falsely claim tax refunds to which they are not entitled.
- 17. The ostensible purpose of Giroud's frivolous tax returns and Forms 1099-OID is to request fraudulent refunds by accessing a supposed Treasury account.
- 18. In reality, Giroud fraudulently reports that tax was withheld from his customers and then claims refunds based on that false withholding.
- 19. The returns that Giroud submits on behalf of his customers falsely claim that each customer had taxes withheld in an amount nearly as large as the total amount of income reported on fraudulent Forms 1099-OID attached to the tax return and/or the total amount reported on the Schedule B attached to the return as "Form 1099 OID" income. The income amounts listed on the Forms 1099-OID are based on the total amount of debt the customer owes to his/her creditor.
- 20. Giroud's redemption theory is complete fiction and has been rejected by numerous courts. In promoting this fraudulent scheme, Giroud has injured his customers, and the United States, which has paid at least \$1,266,062 in erroneous refunds because of Giroud's fabricated withholding claims.

Giroud Prepared Tax Returns for Customers that Frivolously Overstated their Income and Withholdings and Claimed Over Nineteen Million Dollars in Bogus Refunds.

21. The IRS has identified at least 114 tax returns prepared by Giroud for customers in 2009 and 2010 reflecting the bogus "redemption" theory, which fraudulently claim a total of \$28,697,701 in withholdings and \$19,443,873.40 in refunds. These identified tax returns include Form 1040 income tax returns for tax years 2008 and 2009, and also Forms 1040X amended income tax returns for tax years 2005, 2006, and 2007.

- 22. For example, Giroud prepared an amended 2008 federal tax return for customers Eszylfie and Kristen Taylor of Altadena, California, which included a fraudulent From 1099-OID listing Wells Fargo Bank as the payor of OID in the amount of \$749,796.14 to the Taylors. The Form 1099-OID fraudulently claims that, from that purported amount, Wells Fargo Bank withheld \$749,796.14 in federal income tax. As a result of the fraudulent tax withholding claim on the Form 1099-OID, the Giroud-prepared return falsely claimed a tax refund for the Taylors in the amount of \$453,639.
- 23. Giroud also prepared amended tax returns for the Taylors for tax years 2006 and 2007 which fraudulently claimed on Forms 1099-OID that \$515,371.14 and \$1,238,357.07 of federal income tax was withheld, respectively. The Taylors' 2006 and 2007 Giroud-prepared tax returns thus falsely claimed tax refunds in the amount os \$321,333 and \$784,931, respectively.
- 24. On the 2009 tax return of customer Russell Budnick of Missouri City, Texas, Giroud claimed \$518,929 in withholdings from "FORM 1099 OID" income. Giroud claimed that Budnick had an adjusted gross income in the amount of \$521,387, of which \$518,929 was reported as taxable interest income, and all of which was purportedly withheld as taxes according to the return. The Schedule B attached to the return listed the \$518,929 as "FORM 1099 OID" income. In reality, Budnick's 2009 adjusted gross income was only \$2,458. Giroud, by falsely claiming that \$518,929 was withheld in taxes, not only fraudulently eliminated Budnick's actual tax liability, but claimed a bogus tax refund in the amount of \$351,263.
- 25. Giroud also prepared Budnick's 2006, 2007, and 2008 federal tax returns on April 2, 2010, the same date that Giroud prepared Budnick's 2009 federal tax return. Giroud falsely claimed that in 2006, 2007, and 2008, Budnick had \$591,004, \$560,500, and \$407,170, respectively, withheld in taxes from bogus "FORM 1099 OID" income, as reported on the Schedule B attached to the returns. Based on these false reported

withholdings, Giroud claimed bogus refunds on Budnick's 2006, 2007, and 2008 tax returns in the amounts of \$392,992, \$378,222, and \$253,305, respectively.

- 26. Giroud, on the 2009 tax return of customer Nancy Iiams of Round Rock, Texas, falsely claimed that Iiams had \$99,593 in tax withheld from the purported \$99,593 in "FORM 1099 OID" interest income that Giroud reported on the Schedule B. Giroud also attached two fraudulent Forms 1099-A to Iiams' return which reported the acquisition or abandonment of secured property in the total amount of \$99,593. The result of Giroud's fraudulent claims is that Iiams' 2009 tax return claimed a bogus tax refund in the amount of \$72,096.
- 27. On April 7, 2010, the same date he prepared Iiams' 2009 tax return, Giroud also prepared Iiams' 2008 tax return and amended 2007 tax return. On these returns, Giroud attached fraudulent Forms 1099-OID claiming bogus withholdings in the amounts of \$199,526.94 in 2008 and \$662,036.61 in 2007. Giroud reported this bogus withholding on Iiams' Forms 1040, and claimed bogus tax refunds of \$132,402 for 2008 and \$442,483 for 2007.
- 28. Giroud also prepared a 2009 federal tax return and an amended 2006 federal tax return for customers Floyd and Susana Anderson of Moreno Valley, California, which included bogus claims based on the attached Forms 1099-OID. On the Andersons' 2009 tax return, Giroud falsely claimed withholdings in the amount of \$662,467, and a bogus refund in the amount of \$338,155. Line 1 of the Schedule B attached to the tax return listed \$74,809 in "IRS" interest and interest from Wells Fargo Bank in the amount of \$304. Lines 2 and 4 of the Schedule B then reported a subtotal of interest in the amount of \$737,500, which was \$662,387 more than the reported interest on Line 1. Although Line 4 of the Schedule B instructs that the amount reported on that line (which here was \$737,500) be entered on Line 8a of the Form 1040, the Form 1040 reported \$662,387 in taxable interest income, with no explanation for the differing amounts; in actuality, Giroud fabricated both reported amounts.

15

14

17

16

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29. On the Andersons' 2006 Form 1040X amended tax return, Giroud falsely claimed \$720,941 in withholdings and a bogus refund of \$474,062. The \$720,941 included \$716,441 reported as interest income on the Schedule B attached to the return. The Giroud-prepared return has several fraudulent Forms 1099-OID attached, reporting purported income tax withholdings by Countrywide Home Loans, Bank of America, US Bancorp, and State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company, and the amount on those forms totals \$716,312. Similarly, several Forms 1099-A are attached, purportedly abandoning secured property, and the amounts reported on those forms also total \$716,312. The return reports to be self-prepared, but Giroud told the IRS that he was the paid preparer.

30. The bogus refunds claimed on the Andersons' 2006 amended return and 2009 return, totaling \$812,217, were erroneously issued to the Andersons based on Giroud's false claims.

Giroud Filed Income Tax Returns that Frivolously Overstated his Own Income and Withholdings and Wrongly Claimed Over a Million Dollars in Refunds.

31. Giroud's frivolous preparation is not limited to returns for his customers. Giroud has asserted the same bogus "commercial redemption" theories and attached fraudulent Forms 1099-OID to his personal tax returns. The following chart shows Giroud's bogus reported withholdings and fraudulent claims for refund on his personal returns for tax years 2006 through 2008:

Tax Year	Reported Withholdings	Form 1099-OID "Income"	Claimed Refunds
2006	\$390,441	\$57,667	\$351,496
2007	1,887,219	387,219.42	993,768 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The total reported overpayment of tax was \$1,743,768, and Giroud requested that the remaining \$750,000 not requested as a refund be applied to his 2008 tax liability.

1	
2	
3	

# 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

2008	111,183	111,182.89	50,905	
	Total: \$2,388,843	Total: \$556,069.31	Total: \$1,396,169	

#### Harm Caused by Giroud's Misconduct and Tax-Fraud Scheme

- 32. Giroud prepared and filed at least 114 individual tax returns that fraudulently overstate withholding and claim unwarranted refunds. Many of the refund requests on these fraudulent returns exceed \$300,000 and several returns request refunds exceeding \$2 million. The total amount of refunds requested on these 114 returns is over \$19 million.
- 33. The scheme employed by Giroud is part of a growing trend among tax defiers nationwide to file frivolous tax returns and other forms with the IRS and courts in an attempt to escape their federal tax obligations and steal from the U.S. Treasury.
- 34. In reliance on Giroud's services, his customers have failed to file proper federal income tax returns, which has either deprived his customers of proper tax refunds to which they may have been entitled or deprived the United States of additional tax revenue owed by the customers.
- 35. While the IRS is able to detect and stop most fraudulent refund claims, Giroud's fraudulent tax return preparation has resulted in the IRS's issuance of at least \$1,266,062.40 in erroneous refunds to his customers.
- 36. In addition to the lost revenue due to the issuance of erroneous refunds, the government has also incurred the expense of conducting the investigation of Giroud's fraudulent return preparation and responding to and processing the frivolous documents Giroud submitted to the IRS.

#### Count I: Injunction Under 26 U.S.C. § 7407

37. The United States incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 36.

- 38. Under 26 U.S.C. § 7407, the United States may seek an injunction against any tax return preparer who has engaged in any "fraudulent or deceptive conduct which substantially interferes with the proper administration of the Internal Revenue laws," or who has "engaged in any conduct subject to penalty under section 6694 or 6695."
- 39. If a return preparer's misconduct is continual or repeated and the court finds that a narrower injunction (*i.e.* prohibiting specific enumerated conduct) would not be sufficient to prevent the preparer's interference with the proper administration of federal tax laws, the court may enjoin the person from further acting as a return preparer.
- 40. Giroud, individually and doing business as Refunds R Us, has continually and repeatedly prepared and filed with the IRS false and frivolous federal income tax returns on behalf of his customers.
- 41. As a result, Giroud has continually and repeatedly engaged in fraudulent or deceptive conduct which substantially interferes with the proper administration of the Internal Revenue laws.
- 42. Giroud has continually and repeatedly prepared and filed federal tax returns that understate his customers' tax liabilities as a result of unreasonable and frivolous claims and has thus engaged in conduct subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. § 6694.
- 43. Giroud has continually and repeatedly prepared and filed federal tax returns that understate customers' tax liabilities as a result of Giroud's willful attempt to understate his customers' tax liabilities and his reckless or intentional disregard of internal revenue laws and regulations.
- 44. Injunctive relief is appropriate to prevent this misconduct because, absent an injunction, Giroud is likely to prepare more false and fraudulent federal income tax returns and engage in other misconduct as described in this complaint.
- 45. Additionally, Giroud has continually and repeatedly prepared returns that include fraudulent refund claims.

46. Giroud should be permanently enjoined under 26 U.S.C. § 7407 from acting as a federal tax return preparer because a more limited injunction would be insufficient to stop him from interfering with the proper administration of the tax laws.

#### Count II: Injunction Under 26 U.S.C. § 7408

- 47. The United States incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 46.
- 48. Under 26 U.S.C. § 7408, a district court may enjoin any person from, *inter alia*, engaging in conduct subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. § 6701 if injunctive relief is appropriate to prevent recurrence of that conduct.
- 49. Section 6701 imposes a penalty on any person who aids or assists in, procures, or advises with respect to, the preparation of any portion of a return, affidavit, claim, or other document, who knows (or has reason to believe) that such portion will be used in connection with any material matter arising under the internal revenue laws, and who knows that such portion (if so used) would result in an understatement of the liability for tax of another person.
- 50. Giroud prepared and aided or assisted in the preparation and filing of federal income tax returns and other documents that resulted in the understatement of his customers' tax liabilities.
- 51. As a result Giroud has engaged in conduct subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. § 6701.
- 52. Giroud has not acknowledged the impropriety of his actions and continues to file false and fraudulent tax returns and frivolous documents.
  - 53. Injunctive relief is appropriate to prevent recurrence of Giroud's misconduct.

#### Count III: Injunction Under 26 U.S.C. § 7402

-11-

54. The United States incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 53.

- 55. Under 26 U.S.C. § 7402(a), a court may issue injunctions as may be necessary or appropriate for the enforcement of the internal revenue laws, even if the United States has other remedies available for enforcing those laws.
- 56. Giroud substantially interferes with the enforcement of the internal revenue laws by promoting his "redemption" or "commercial redemption" tax-fraud scheme and filing fraudulent and frivolous federal tax returns and other documents on behalf of his customers.
- 57. As a result of Giroud's misconduct and his fraudulent refund claims, his customers fail to file proper tax returns, and, consequently, may be penalized under 26 U.S.C. § 6676 for up to 20 percent of the excessive and fraudulent refund claim. Additionally, the U.S. Treasury has issued erroneous refunds for over one million dollars as a result of Giroud's fraudulent filings, and IRS employees have spent taxpayer money investigating Giroud's conduct, halting the issuance of erroneous refunds, and recovering erroneous refunds that have already been issued.
- 58. Giroud's conduct results in irreparable harm to the United States and to the public for which there is no adequate remedy at law.
- 59. Giroud's conduct interferes with the proper administration of the Internal Revenue Code because it results in frivolous filings with the IRS that hinder the IRS's ability to determine the correct tax liabilities of Giroud's customers.
- 60. Unless enjoined by this Court, Giroud will continue to prepare fraudulent tax returns for himself and customers and administer his tax-fraud scheme.
- 61. The United States is entitled to injunctive relief under 26 U.S.C. § 7402(a) to prevent the recurrence of this misconduct.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, the United States of America, prays for the following relief:

A. That the Court find that Giroud has continually and repeatedly engaged in conduct subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. §§ 6694 and 6701 and that injunctive relief is

appropriate under 26 U.S.C. § 7402, 7407, and 7408 to bar Giroud from acting as a tax return preparer and from engaging in conduct subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. §§ 6694 and 6701;

- B. That the Court find that Giroud has engaged in conduct that substantially interferes with the enforcement and administration of the internal revenue laws, and that injunctive relief against him is appropriate to prevent the recurrence of that misconduct pursuant to 26 U.S.C. §§ 7407 and 7402(a);
- C. That the Court, under 26 U.S.C. §§ 7402 and 7407, enter a permanent injunction permanently barring Giroud from acting as federal tax return preparer and from preparing or filing federal tax returns or forms for others, from representing others before the IRS, and from advising anyone concerning federal tax matters;
- D. That the Court, under 26 U.S.C. §§ 7402, 7407, and 7408, enter a permanent injunction prohibiting Giroud and his representatives, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, independent contractors, and anyone in active concert or participation with them, from directly or indirectly:
- (1) Preparing or filing, or assisting in, or directing the preparation or filing of any federal tax return or amended return or other related documents or forms for any other person or entity;
  - (2) Engaging in activity subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. § 6694;
  - (3) Engaging in conduct subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. § 6701, including preparing and filing or advising with respect to tax returns and other documents that understate the tax liabilities of others; and
  - (4) Engaging in any other activity subject to penalty under the Internal Revenue Code; and
  - (5) Engaging in other conduct that substantially interferes with the proper administration and enforcement of the internal revenue laws;

- 1 2 3 4 1 5 1 6 6 7 1 8 6 6

- E. That this Court under 26 U.S.C. § 7402, enter a permanent injunction prohibiting Giroud from preparing his own federal income tax returns that claim fabricated incometax withholding and refunds based on the "redemption" theory and amounts shown in Forms 1099-OID issued to his creditors;
- F. That this Court under 26 U.S.C. § 7402, enter a permanent injunction prohibiting Giroud from filing, providing forms for, or otherwise aiding and abetting the filing of frivolous Forms 1040, Forms 1040X, Forms 1099 or other IRS forms for himself or others;
- G. That this Court, under 26 U.S.C. § 7402, enter an injunction requiring Giroud to contact by mail and email all persons for whom he has prepared federal tax returns since 2008 and inform those persons of the Court's findings concerning the falsity of Giroud's prior representations and provide a copy of the permanent injunction against Giroud;
- H. That this Court, under 26 U.S.C. § 7402, order Giroud to provide to the United States a list of all persons for whom he has prepared federal tax returns since 2008;
- I. That this Court allow the government full post-judgment discovery to monitor Giroud's compliance with the injunction; and

J. That this Court grant the United States such additional relief as the Court deems just and appropriate. Date: April 15, 2011 Respectfully submitted, ANDRE BIROTTE, JR. United States Attorney SANDRA R. BROWN Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Tax Division DANIEL W. LAYTON (SBN 240763) Assistant United States Attorney Room 7211 Federal Building 300 North Los Angeles Street Los Angeles, CA 90012 Telephone: 213-894-6165 Fax: 213-894-0115 Email: Daniel.Layton@usdoj.gov DANIEL A. APPLEGATE
Michigan Bar # P70452
Trial Attorney, Tax Division
U. S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7238, Ben Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044
Telephone: (202) 353-8180
Fax: (202) 514-6770
Daniel.A.Applegate@usdoj.gov 

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

#### NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Virginia A. Phillips and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Oswald Parada.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

EDCV11- 608 VAP (OPx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge
· ====================================
A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is

Southern Division

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

[ ] Western Division

312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8

Los Angeles, CA 90012

411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053

Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

[X] Eastern Division

3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134

Riverside, CA 92501



Andre Birotte, Jr., United States Attorney
Sandra R. Brown, Assistant United States Attorney
Chief, Tax Division
Daniel Layton, Asst. United States Attorney
300 N. Los Angeles Street, Room 7211
Los Angeles, CA 90012 (213) 894-6165

<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NUMBER
PLAINTIFF(S) v. DENNIS E. GIROUD, individually and d/b/a/	CV
REFUNDS R US,	
DEFENDANT(S).	SUMMONS
TO: THE ABOVE-NAMED DEFENDANT(S):	
YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to Daniel Layton , where we have a summoned and required to the summon of the	file with this court and serve upon plaintiff's attorney nose address is:
United States Attorney's Office 300 N. Los Angeles Street Room 7211 Los Angeles, CA 90012 Tel: 213-894-6165 Fax: (213) 894-0115 Email: Daniel.Layton@usdoj.gov	
an answer to the <b>x</b> complaintame which is herewith served upon you within <u>21</u> day of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgement demanded in the complaint.	s after service of this Summons upon you, exclusive
. 1	
	Clerk, U.S. District Court
Dated:	By:
	Deputy Clerk

CV-01A (01/01)

(Seal of the Court)

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □) UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			D	<b>EFENDA</b> DENNIS		ndividual	y and d/b/a REFUN	IDS R US		ш
Los Angeles				San Bernardino County						
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.)			representing A	ttorneys (I	f Known)					
United States Attorney's C Darwin Thomas, Asst. Un		5165								
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION	N (Place an X in one box only.)				RINCIPAL PA		For Diversity Cases	Only		
■ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citizen of This St		•	rf def	•		<b>PTF</b> □ 4	DEF □ 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	2 U.S. Government Defendant		Citizen of Anothe	r State	· 🗖	2 🗆 2	Incorporated and of Business in A	•	: □ 5	□ 5
			Citizen or Subject	of a Forei	gn Country 🛚	3 □3	Foreign Nation		□6	□6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in on	e box only.)									
original □ 2 Remove State Co			instated or 5 opened	Transferre	d from another	district (sp	Distr	ict Jud	peal to I ge from gistrate	1
V. REQUESTED IN COMPL.	AINT: JURY DEMAND: 🗆 Y	es 🗹	No (Check 'Yes'	only if dem	anded in comp	laint.)				
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C	.P. 23: □ Yes 👿 No		□М	ONEY DE	MANDED IN	COMPL	AINT: \$	<u>:</u> .		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite 26 U.S.C. Sections 7402, 7	e the U.S. Civil Statute under which 407 and 7408	h you a	are filing and write	a brief sta	tement of cause	. Do not	cite jurisdictional st	atutes unless div	versity.)	1 .
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Plac	<del></del>			<u>.</u>				<del></del>		
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT		TORTS	7	TORTS		PRISONER	LA	BOR	
☐ 400 State Reapportionment	□ 110 Insurance	PEI	RSONAL INJURY	P	ERSONAL		PETITIONS	□ 710 Fair La		ındards
☐ 410 Antitrust			Airplane	96-25 (SW 25-25-34-34-34-34-34-34-34-34-34-34-34-34-34-	ROPERTY	□ 510	Motions to	Act	· .	
□.430 Banks and Banking		□315	Airplane Product Liability		Other Fraud		Vacate Sentence	□ 720 Labor/	_	
☐ 450 Commerce/ICC	☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	□ 320	Assault, Libel &		Truth in Lendin Other Personal	~ ,	Habeas Corpus General	Relation Relation Relation Relation Relation Relation Relation		
Rates/etc.  ☐ 460 Deportation	☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment &		Slander			1	Death Penalty	Report		
☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced	Enforcement of	□ 330	Fed. Employers'		Property Dama				sure Ac	t
and Corrupt	Judgment		Liability		Product Liabili		Other	□ 740 Railwa		
Organizations	□ 151 Medicare Act		Marine	BA	NKRUPTCY :	<u></u> □ 550	Civil Rights	☐ 790 Other:		
☐ 480 Consumer Credit	☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	□ 343	Marine Product Liability	1	Appeal 28 USO	□ 555	Prison Condition	Litigat	ion.	
☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV	Student Loan (Excl.	□ 350	Motor Vehicle		158	F	ORFEITURE/			<b>3</b> .
□ 810 Selective Service	Veterans)	□ 355	Motor Vehicle		Withdrawal 28 USC 157		PENALTY A. Agriculture	Securi PROPERT	ty Act	TO
☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange	1		Product Liability		VIL RIGHTS		Other Food &	□ 820 Copyr		113
□ 875 Customer Challenge 12	Veteran's Benefits	□ 360	Other Personal Injury		Voting		Drug	□ 830 Patent		
USC 3410	l- 440 0 11 11 10 1	□ 362	Personal Injury-	□ 442	Employment	□ 625	Drug Related	□ 840 Trader	nark	
☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions	☐ 190 Other Contract		Med Malpractice		Housing/Acco-	·   .	Seizure of	SOCIALS		ΠY
□ 891 Agricultural Act		□ 365	Personal Injury-		mmodations		Property 21 USC			100)
□ 892 Economic Stabilization	Liability  ☐ 196 Franchise	m 260	Product Liability		Welfare American with	LI 630	881 Liquor Laws	☐ 862 Black ☐ 863 DIWC	٠.	,
Act ☐ 893 Environmental Matters	REAL PROPERTY	□ 308	Asbestos Personal Injury Product	443	Disabilities -		R.R. & Truck	(405(g		
☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act	□ 210 Land Condemnation		Liability		Employment	1	Airline Regs	□ 864 SSID		/1
☐ 895 Freedom of Info. Act	☐ 220 Foreclosure		MMIGRATION		American with		Occupational	□ 865 RSI (4	05(g))	
☐ 900 Appeal of Fee Determi-	☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	□ 462	Naturalization		Disabilities -	·	Safety /Health	FEDERAL		
nation Under Equal	☐ 240 Torts to Land	□ 462	Application Habeas Corpus-		Other Civil	□ 690	Other	▼ 870 Taxes		
Access to Justice	2+3 Tote Froduct Diability	L 405	Alien Detainee	E .	Other Civil Rights	l		□ 871 IRS-T	endant)	
☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	☐ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 465	Other Immigration	n	ViRing			USC 7		ty 20
,			Actions	1						
<u> </u>	<u> </u>				FDA	80.0		L		
					<u> </u>	V 1	1-060	8		
•						-		<b>~</b>		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:  AFTER C	Case Number:	DE OF	FORM CV-71, C	OMPLET	TE THE INFO	RMATIC	N REQUESTED I	BELOW.		

CV-71 (05/08) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 1 of 2

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDE If yes, list cas		this action been pre-	viously filed in this court an	d dismissed, remanded or closed? ▼No □ Yes				
VIII(b). RE		any cases been prev	iously filed in this court that	t are related to the present case? MNo Yes				
	Civil cases are deemed related if a previously filed case and the present case:  (Check all boxes that apply)  A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or  B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or  C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or  D. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.							
	· .	_	on, use an additional sheet if					
(a) List the Check h	County in this District; C ere if the government, its	California County ou s agencies or employ	tside of this District; State is vees is a named plaintiff. If	f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. this box is checked, go to item (b).				
County in th	is District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
Los Angele	S							
				f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides.  If this box is checked, go to item (c).				
County in this District:*				California County outside of this District; State, if other than California, or Foreign Country				
San Bernar	dino							
			ntside of this District; State in	f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.				
County in th	is District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
Los Angele	S							
* Los Angele Note: In land	s, Orange, San Bernard condemnation cases, use	dino, Riverside, Ve e the location of the	ntura, Santa Barbara, or S tract of land involved	San Luis Obispo Counties				
X SIGNATI	URE OF ATTORNEY (	OR PRO PER):	200	Date 4/18/				
Notice t	o Counsel/Parties: The	e CV-71 (JS-44) Civ	ed by the Judicial Conference	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings e of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ting the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)				
Key to Statist	ical codes relating to So	cial Security Cases:						
	Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	f Cause of Action				
	861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))					
	862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)					
	863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
	863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
	864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.					
	865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))					

CV-71 (05/08) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 2